

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/869,883	09/869,883 08/17/2001		Hiroko Shikinami	110071	4388	
25944	7590	03/25/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & E	BERRIDO	GE, PLC	ROYAL	ROYAL, PAUL		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3611		
				DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	•	09/869,883	SHIKINAMI ET AL.	
J' C	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Paul Royal	3611	
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication appoints	pears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address	S
A SHORTI THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ceived by the Office later than three months after the mailin nt term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, m ly within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
2a)∏ This 3)∏ Sinc	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 A</u> action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This e this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ince except for formal i		rits is
Disposition o	f Claims			
4a) C 5)∭ Claii 6)⊠ Claii 7)∭ Claii	m(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. If the above claim(s) is/are withdra m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
Application P	apers			
10)⊠ The e Appl Repl	specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2001 is/are: icant may not request that any objection to the acement drawing sheet(s) including the correct oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) are drawing(s) be held in abetion is required if the drawing are the drawing are	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)⊠ Al 1.⊑ 2.⊑ 3.⊠	,	ts have been received ts have been received prity documents have b tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stag	J e
2) Notice of D 3) Information	references Cited (PTO-892) rraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 n)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .	Pape	iew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :)

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 17 Aug 2001 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear whether applicant is claiming the stool as part of the instant invention. As best understood, applicant's reciting the stool to indicate intended useage.

Also, the claim is unclear as to the require stool height, for example, is the seat of the stool being used alone or as part of a complete stool assembly mounted to a surface?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duke (US 2,374,182).

Art Unit: 3611

Duke teaches a walker device comprising a frame (1, 4, 6, 8) to support the user, wheels (3) mounted on the frame and a seat plate (19), which can project from around the lateral side and rear side of the user to an area where the legs of the walking user move, and wherein the seat plate is removable from the users leg area during walking; wherein the seat plate (19) can be pivoted about a horizontal axis.

Note the limitation requiring the lowest portion of the frame to be higher than "that of a seat stool" has not been given any weight because a seat stool could be virtually any height and which makes the limitation unclear as to the require stool height.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duke (US 2,374,182).

Duke teaches the claimed vehicle except wherein the seat plate comprises a pair of seat plate portions.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the seat plate into a pair of seat plate portions because it only

Art Unit: 3611

requires routine skill in the art to make a unitary element into separate components, for example, to aid folding the vehicle.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schmerl teaches a walker. Rigal teaches a folding walker assembly. Di Chiara teaches a walker with tray. Ledesky teaches an assist apparatus. Coe teaches a walker. Purdy et al. teaches a tray assembly. Morris teaches a patient conveyance device. Fernie et al. teaches a walking aid. Austin teaches a walker. Vaughan teaches a wheel chair and walker. Carabajal et al. teaches a walker. Guiterrez teaches a walking wheelchair.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Royal whose telephone number is 703-308-8570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley D. Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P. Royal

March 21, 2004

Paul Royal Examiner Art Unit 3611

LESLEY D. MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600